

## **REMARKS**

The application includes claims 1-81 prior to entering this amendment.

Claims 1-81 have been cancelled.

New claims 82-101 have been added.

The applicant does not add new matter and requests reconsideration and allowance of the present application.

### **October 26, 2010 telephone interview**

A telephone interview was conducted on October 26, 2010 between Michael Cofield, attorney for applicant, and Examiner Beemnet W. Dada. During the telephone interview, a proposed claim similar to new claim 82 shown above was discussed. The Examiner stated that the proposed claim was likely to overcome the cited references of record, including Wood, Zhao, and Gupta, if submitted in an amendment for the Examiner's official consideration because it tentatively appears that the cited references of record fail to disclose at least the feature of "comparing a web application targeted by the received browser request to any created web application instances indicated by an entry in the generated database for the particular user; ... in response to receiving the browser request and the comparison indicating a match, bypassing creation of the new instance and instead processing the browser request using a corresponding one of the indicated web application instances for the particular user." An updated search, including a more detailed review of Wood, Zhao, and Gupta, will be performed by the Examiner upon receiving the present amendment including new claims.

### **New Claims**

New claim 82 has been added. New claim 82 recites "comparing a web application targeted by the received browser request to any created web application instances indicated by an entry in the generated database for the particular user; ... in response to receiving the browser request and the comparison indicating a match, bypassing creation of the new instance and instead processing the browser request using a corresponding one of the indicated web application instances for the particular user." The Examiner tentatively agreed that the cited references of record fail to disclose at least similar features, as indicated in the telephone interview summary section above.

In particular, Wood discloses a permanent session with a single sign-on. *See* Abstract. Nevertheless, for every browser request in the permanent session, the system generates a new instance of the web application in the permanent session. *See* col. 4, lines 44-57; *also see* col. 11, lines 5-11. Accordingly, Wood fails to disclose at least the above-noted claim features. It was not alleged that either Zhao or Gupta cures the deficiencies of Wood, nor do they.

In contrast, new claim 82 recites “comparing a web application targeted by the received browser request to any created web application instances indicated by an entry in the generated database for the particular user; ... in response to receiving the browser request and the comparison indicating a match, bypassing creation of the new instance and instead processing the browser request using a corresponding one of the indicated web application instances for the particular user.” Thus, new claim 82 should be allowed. New claims 83-86, being dependent, should be allowed for at least the same reasons. New claims 87-101 recite similar features and thus should be allowed for at least similar reasons. It is noted that support for the new claims can be found throughout the present specification, and in particular, at paragraph 0053.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-78 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Wood *et al.* (U.S. Patent 6,668,322) in view of Zhao (U.S. Patent 6,035,404) and further in view of Gupta *et al.* (U.S. Patent 6,226,752).

In the interest of expediting prosecution, claims 1-78 have been cancelled.


### CONCLUSION

The applicant does not add new matter and requests reconsideration and allowance of the present application. The applicant encourages the Examiner to telephone the undersigned at 503 546 1811 if it appears that an interview would be helpful in advancing the case.

**Customer No. 73552**

Respectfully submitted,

STOLOWITZ FORD COWGER LLP

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael A. Cofield  
Reg. No. 54,630

STOLOWITZ FORD COWGER LLP  
621 SW Morrison Street, Suite 600  
Portland, OR 97205  
(503) 224-2170